## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGHINIA JONES : CIVIL ACTION

V.

DONALD T. VAUGHN, ET AL. : NO. 02-3540

## ORDER

AND NOW, this 17TH day of July 2002, upon consideration of Relator's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The District Attorney of PHILADELPHIA is added as a party respondent and the caption is hereby so amended.
- 2. The District Attorney shall file specific and detailed Answers within thirty (30) days of the date of this order (NO LATER THAN 8/18/02) pursuant to Rule 5, 28 U.S.C. fol. § 2254.

BY THE COURT:

PETER B. SCUDERI

<sup>1.</sup> Upon review of Relator's petition, it appears that the petition may be subject to the one-year limitation period found at 28 U.S.C. Section 2244(d)(1). As a result, Respondents are directed to address the applicability of the one-year limitations period and any equitable considerations thereto. We note, however, that compliance with this Order in no way excludes or restricts Respondents from filing a full and complete Answer applying all relevant legal theories and defenses. If, indeed, an Answer on the merits of Relator's claims is deemed necessary, please note in  $\P$  2 that the court **requires** an Answer to be **specific** and **detailed** as to Relator's claims.

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE